



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/713,965	11/15/2000	David R. Scott	277-P-32-USA	5189
71850                      7590                      07/21/2008 RUSSO & DUCKWORTH, LLP 9090 IRVINE CENTER DRIVE, SECOND FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92618				
EXAMINER				
PORTER, RACHEL L				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3626				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
07/21/2008		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

09/713,965

**Applicant(s)**

SCOTT, DAVID R.

**Examiner**

RACHEL L. PORTER

**Art Unit**

3626

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) RACHEL L. PORTER.(3) David Scott, Inventor.(2) David Duckworth, 39,516.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 17 June 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant

2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes

e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 8-12.

Identification of prior art discussed: Otis and Reuters.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant argued that the invention distinguished over the prior art (namely Otis) because the reference does not disclose a rescue mission. No agreement was reached regarding this limitation. Also Examiner suggested that "initiation of a rescue mission" was tentative, and broad language.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Rachel L. Porter/

Examiner, Art Unit 3626

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.